

PHYS 5340: Quantum Mechanics I

Richard S. Camuccio

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

6 May 2018

Contents

1	Bras, Kets, and Operators	3
2	Eigenkets as Base Kets	10
3	Commutators, Uncertainty Relation, and Change of Basis	16
4	Transformation Matrix, Diagonalization, and Physical Observables	21

1 Bras, Kets, and Operators

Hilbert Space is an infinite dimensional complex vector space. In quantum mechanics, a *physical state* is represented by a *state vector* (e.g. an atom with definite spin orientation).

Dirac notation: A state vector α is in a complex vector space, denoted as $|\alpha\rangle$, and referred to as a ‘state ket’. The state ket contains complete information about the physical state.

Addition:

$$|\alpha\rangle + |\beta\rangle = |\gamma\rangle \quad (1.1)$$

Multiplication:

$$c|\alpha\rangle = |\alpha\rangle c \quad (1.2)$$

In the case of Equation (1.2), c is a complex number. If $c = 0$, the result is called *null ket*.

An *observable* in quantum mechanics is represented by an *operator* A (e.g. position, momentum, spin, ...)

$$A \cdot (|\alpha\rangle) = A|\alpha\rangle \quad (1.3)$$

If

$$A|a'\rangle = a'|a'\rangle, \quad A|a''\rangle = a''|a''\rangle, \quad \dots, \quad (1.4)$$

where a' , a'' , \dots , are numbers, then $|a'\rangle$, $|a''\rangle$, \dots are called *eigenkets* of operator A and a' , a'' , \dots , are called *eigenvalues* of A . The whole set of eigenvalues are denoted by $\{a'\}$. The physical state corresponding to an eigenket is called an *eigenstate*.

For example, consider a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ system,

$$S_z|S_z; +\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2}|S_z; -\rangle \quad (1.5a)$$

$$S_z|S_z; -\rangle = -\frac{\hbar}{2}|S_z; -\rangle \quad (1.5b)$$

where S_z is the operator, $|S_z; +\rangle$ is the eigenket, and $-\frac{\hbar}{2}$ is the eigenvalue (using the case of Equation (1.5b) as an example).

We can write Equation (1.5), this time in the context of the x -direction, more compactly as

$$S_x|S_x; \pm\rangle = \pm\frac{\hbar}{2}|S_x; \pm\rangle \quad (1.6)$$

If an N -dimensional vector space is spanned by N eigenkets of observable A , then we can write them as a linear combination of a sum of complex coefficients acting on the eigenkets:

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} c_{a'} |a'\rangle \quad (1.7)$$

where $c_{a'}$, $c_{a''}$, \dots , $c_{a^{(N)}}$ are complex coefficients and $\sum_{a'} |a'\rangle \implies |a'\rangle + |a''\rangle + \dots + |a^{(N)}\rangle$.

The *bra space* is a vector space dual to the ket space. Corresponding to every ket $|\alpha\rangle$, $\exists \langle\alpha|$ in dual space.

The dual correspondence between the bra and ket spaces carries with it similar properties:

$$|\alpha\rangle \leftrightarrow \langle\alpha| \quad (1.8a)$$

$$|a'\rangle, |a''\rangle, \dots \leftrightarrow \langle a'|, \langle a''|, \dots \quad (1.8b)$$

$$|\alpha\rangle + |\beta\rangle \leftrightarrow \langle\alpha| + \langle\beta| \quad (1.8c)$$

Note, however, that

$$c_\alpha|\alpha\rangle + c_\beta|\beta\rangle \leftrightarrow c_\alpha^*\langle\alpha| + c_\beta^*\langle\beta| \quad (1.9)$$

We define the inner product as

$$\langle\beta|\alpha\rangle \equiv (\langle\beta|) \cdot (|\alpha\rangle) \quad (1.10)$$

We also state some fundamental properties of the dual correspondence:

$$\langle\beta|\alpha\rangle = \langle\alpha|\beta\rangle^* \quad (1.11a)$$

$$\langle\alpha|\alpha\rangle \geq 0 \quad (1.11b)$$

$$\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle = 0 \quad (1.11c)$$

$$|\tilde{\alpha}\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle\alpha|\alpha\rangle}} \right) |\alpha\rangle \quad (1.11d)$$

$$\langle\tilde{\alpha}|\tilde{\alpha}\rangle = 1 \quad (1.11e)$$

Equation (1.11a) is the definition of the complex conjugate. The equality in Equation (1.11b) holds only for null kets. If two kets $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ are orthogonal, then Equation (1.11c) is satisfied. If $|\alpha\rangle$ is not a null ket, we can construct a normalized ket $|\tilde{\alpha}\rangle$, also called the norm of $|\alpha\rangle$, such that Equation (1.11d) holds.

Let there be operators X, Y, \dots , such that:

$$X = Y \implies X|\alpha\rangle = Y|\alpha\rangle \quad (1.12)$$

If $X|\alpha\rangle = 0$, this implies that X is a null operator.

The operators X and Y satisfy the commutative property of addition:

$$X + Y = Y + X \quad (1.13)$$

They satisfy the associative property as well:

$$X + (Y + Z) = (X + Y) + Z \quad (1.14)$$

The operator X is a linear operator:

$$X(c_\alpha|\alpha\rangle + c_\beta|\beta\rangle) = c_\alpha X|\alpha\rangle + c_\beta X|\beta\rangle \quad (1.15)$$

Note the following:

$$X|\alpha\rangle \leftrightarrow \langle\alpha|X^\dagger \quad (1.16)$$

where X^\dagger is the adjoint of X . X is considered Hermitian if

$$X = X^\dagger \quad (1.17)$$

Multiplication of operators is not commutative:

$$XY \neq YX \quad (1.18)$$

Multiplication is associative:

$$X(YZ) = (XY)Z = XYZ \quad (1.19)$$

$$X(Y|\alpha\rangle) = (XY)|\alpha\rangle = XY|\alpha\rangle \quad (1.20a)$$

$$(\langle\beta|X)Y = \langle\beta|(XY) = \langle\beta|XY \quad (1.20b)$$

Note that:

$$(XY)^\dagger = Y^\dagger X^\dagger \quad (1.21)$$

Proof of Equation (1.21)

$$XY|\alpha\rangle = X(Y|\alpha\rangle) \Leftrightarrow (\langle\alpha|Y^\dagger)X^\dagger = \langle\alpha|Y^\dagger X^\dagger \quad (1.22)$$

The *outer product* is defined as:

$$|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha| \quad (1.23)$$

The Associative Axiom

Let

$$(|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha|) \cdot |\gamma\rangle = |\beta\rangle \cdot (\langle\alpha|\gamma\rangle) \quad (1.24)$$

where $(\langle\alpha|\gamma\rangle)$ is a number. Therefore, $|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha|$ acts as an operator. So, if

$$X = |\beta\rangle \implies X^\dagger = |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta| \quad (1.25)$$

Again,

$$(\langle\beta| \cdot (X|\alpha\rangle)) = (\langle\beta|X) \cdot (|\alpha\rangle) \quad (1.26)$$

We write this in a compact form as

$$\langle\beta|X|\alpha\rangle \quad (1.27)$$

for both the right- and left-hand sides.

$$\implies \langle \beta | X | \alpha \rangle = \langle \beta | \cdot (X | \alpha \rangle) = (\langle \alpha | X^\dagger \cdot | \beta \rangle)^* = \langle \alpha | X^\dagger | \beta \rangle^* \quad (1.28)$$

For a Hermitian operator X ,

$$\langle \beta | X | \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha | X | \beta \rangle^* \quad (1.29)$$

Base Kets and Matrix Representation

Theorem Let A be a Hermitian operator. The eigenvalues of a Hermitian operator A are real. The eigenkets of A corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal.

Proof

Start with

$$A | \alpha' \rangle = a' | \alpha' \rangle \quad (1.30)$$

A is Hermitian, so

$$\langle \alpha'' | A = \alpha''^* \langle \alpha'' | \quad (1.31)$$

where α', α'', \dots are eigenvalues of A .

From Equation (1.30), $\langle \alpha'' | A | \alpha' \rangle = \langle \alpha'' | \alpha' | \alpha' \rangle$

$$\implies (\alpha' - \alpha''^*) \langle \alpha'' | \alpha' \rangle = 0 \quad (1.32)$$

Now, Equation (1.32) implies that $\alpha' = \alpha''^*$ which, assuming $\alpha' = \alpha''$, further implies that $\alpha' = \alpha'^*$ (where $| \alpha' \rangle$ is not a null ket).

Thus, α' is real. QED.

Now, let $\alpha' \neq \alpha''$. Therefore, from Equation (1.32), $(\alpha' - \alpha'')\langle\alpha''|\alpha'\rangle = 0$, which implies that $\langle\alpha''|\alpha'\rangle = 0$. Therefore, the eigenkets of A corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal.

It is convenient to normalize $|\alpha'\rangle$ so that $\{|\alpha'\rangle\}$ form an orthonormal set:

$$\langle\alpha''|\alpha'\rangle = \delta_{\alpha'\alpha''} \tag{1.33}$$

2 Eigenkets as Base Kets

Given that normalized eigenkets of A form a complete orthonormal set, an arbitrary ket can be expanded in terms of eigenkets of A .

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} c_{a'} |a'\rangle \quad (2.1)$$

This implies that $\langle a''|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} c_{a'} \langle a''|a'\rangle$, where

$$c_{a'} = \langle a'|\alpha\rangle \quad (2.2)$$

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} |a'\rangle \langle a'|\alpha\rangle \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum_{a'} |a'\rangle \langle a'| = 1 \quad (2.4)$$

Equation (2.4) is the completeness, or closure, property, and 1 is the identity operator.

Now, $\langle\alpha|\alpha\rangle = \langle\alpha| \cdot \left(\sum_{a'} |a'\rangle \langle a'| \right) \cdot |\alpha\rangle$

$$= \sum_{a'} |\langle a'|\alpha\rangle|^2 \quad (2.5)$$

$$\sum_{a'} |c_{a'}|^2 = \sum_{a'} |\langle a'|\alpha\rangle|^2 = 1 \quad (2.6)$$

Equation (2.6) assumes that $|\alpha\rangle$ is normalized. Also, $\Lambda_{a'} = |a'\rangle \langle a'|$ is called the *projection operator*.

Matrix Representation

Let us write an operator X such that

$$X = \sum_{a''} \sum_{a'} |a''\rangle \langle a''|X|a'\rangle \langle a'| \quad (2.7)$$

There are N^2 ($N \rightarrow$ is the dimensionality of the ket space) terms of the form $\langle a''|X|a'\rangle$.

We may arrange them into an $N \times N$ matrix such as $\langle a''|X|a'\rangle$, where $\langle a''|$ represents a row matrix, $|a'\rangle$ represents a column matrix, and X is represented as

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \langle a^{(1)}|X|a^{(1)}\rangle & \langle a^{(1)}|X|a^{(2)}\rangle & \dots \\ \langle a^{(2)}|X|a^{(1)}\rangle & \langle a^{(2)}|X|a^{(2)}\rangle & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.8)$$

Again,

$$\langle a''|X|a'\rangle = \langle a'|X^\dagger|a''\rangle \quad (2.9)$$

Note: Equation (2.9) involves a Hermitian adjoint operation \equiv complex conjugate transposed.

If we have an operator B which is Hermitian, then

$$\langle a''|B|a'\rangle = \langle a'|B|a''\rangle^* \quad (2.10)$$

Let $Z = XY$. Then we have

$$\langle a''|Z|a'\rangle = \langle a''|XY|a'\rangle = \sum_{a'''} \langle a''|X|a'''\rangle \langle a'''|Y|a'\rangle \quad (2.11)$$

which satisfies the rule of matrix multiplication.

Let us now consider

$$|\gamma\rangle = X|\alpha\rangle. \quad (2.12)$$

This immediately leads to the implication that

$$\langle a'|\gamma\rangle = \langle a'|X|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a''} \langle a'|X|a''\rangle \langle a''|\alpha\rangle \quad (2.13)$$

Equation (2.13) is akin to matrix multiplication rule with column matrices

$$|\alpha\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle a^{(1)}|\alpha\rangle \\ \langle a^{(2)}|\alpha\rangle \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}, |\gamma\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle a^{(1)}|\gamma\rangle \\ \langle a^{(2)}|\gamma\rangle \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.14)$$

Likewise,

$$\langle\gamma| = \langle\alpha|X \quad (2.15)$$

which gives us

$$\langle\gamma|a'\rangle = \sum_{a''} \langle\alpha|a''\rangle \langle a''|X|a'\rangle \quad (2.16)$$

The bra vector is represented by a row matrix

$$\langle\gamma| = \left[\langle\gamma|a^{(1)}\rangle \quad \langle\gamma|a^{(2)}\rangle \quad \dots \right] = \left[\langle a^{(1)}|\gamma\rangle^* \quad \langle a^{(2)}|\gamma\rangle^* \quad \dots \right] \quad (2.17)$$

Therefore, the inner product can be written as a multiplication of a row matrix and a column matrix.

$$\langle\beta|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} \langle\beta|a'\rangle \langle a'|\alpha\rangle = \left[\langle a^{(1)}|\beta\rangle^* \quad \langle a^{(2)}|\beta\rangle^* \quad \dots \right] \begin{bmatrix} \langle a^{(1)}|\alpha\rangle \\ \langle a^{(2)}|\alpha\rangle \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.18)$$

The matrix representation of A becomes simple if the eigenkets of A are used as base kets:

$$A = \sum_{a''} \sum_{a'} |a''\rangle \langle a''| A |a'\rangle \langle a'| \quad (2.19)$$

But the square matrix $\langle a''|A|a'\rangle$ is diagonal:

$$\langle a''|A|a'\rangle = \langle a'|A|a'\rangle \delta_{a'a''} = a' \delta_{a'a''} \quad (2.20)$$

Therefore,

$$A = \sum_{a'} a' |a'\rangle \langle a'| = \sum_{a'} a' \Lambda_{a'} \quad (2.21)$$

For example, consider a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ system, where we let the base kets be $|S_z; \pm\rangle \equiv |\pm\rangle$. The simplest operator spanned by $|\pm\rangle$ is the identity operator:

$$1 = |+\rangle \langle +| + |-\rangle \langle -| \quad (2.22)$$

From Equation (2.21) we can write

$$S_z = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\right) \left[(|+\rangle \langle +|) + (|-\rangle \langle -|) \right] \quad (2.23)$$

We have the eigenvalue relation

$$S_z |\pm\rangle = \pm \left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\right) |\pm\rangle \quad (2.24)$$

Let us now look at the other components:

$$S_+ \equiv \hbar |+\rangle \langle -|, \quad S_- \equiv \hbar |-\rangle \langle +| \quad (2.25)$$

Both S_+ and S_- are non-Hermitian. Interpretation: S_+ acts on $|-\rangle$ to turn it into $\hbar|+\rangle$ by raising the spin component by one unit of \hbar . S_+ acting on $|+\rangle$ returns a null ket.

Matrix representations:

$$|+\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |-\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.26)$$

$$S_z = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S_+ = \hbar \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S_- = \hbar \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.27)$$

Measurements, Observables, and Uncertainty Relations

“A measurement always causes the system to jump into an eigenstate of the dynamical variable that is being measured.” — Dirac

Before measurement:

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} c_{a'} |a'\rangle = \sum_{a'} |a'\rangle \langle a'|\alpha\rangle \quad (2.28)$$

After measurement:

$$|\alpha\rangle \rightarrow |a'\rangle \quad (2.29)$$

Measurement causes a change of state. However, if the state is an eigenstate, it remains unchanged.

We postulate that the probability of jumping into some particular state $|a'\rangle$ is

$$|\langle a'|\alpha\rangle|^2 \quad (2.30)$$

A collection of identically prepared systems all in the same state (e.g. $|\alpha\rangle$) are a *pure ensemble*. Equation (2.30) is one of the fundamental postulates of quantum mechanics. We define the *expectation value* of A taken with respect to state $|\alpha\rangle$ as

$$\langle A \rangle = \langle \alpha | A | \alpha \rangle \quad (2.31)$$

$$\langle A \rangle = \sum_{a'} \sum_{a''} \langle \alpha | a'' \rangle \langle a'' | A | a' \rangle \langle a' | \alpha \rangle = \sum_{a'} a' |\langle a' | \alpha \rangle|^2 \quad (2.32)$$

3 Commutators, Uncertainty Relation, and Change of Basis

The commutator and anti-commutator of two observables A and B are defined, respectively, as

$$[A, B] = AB - BA \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\{A, B\} = AB + BA \quad (3.1b)$$

If $[A, B] = 0$, then A and B are compatible (and vice versa). If two or more linearly independent eigenkets of A have the same eigenvalue, the eigenvalues of the two eigenkets are said to be *degenerate*.

Theorem Suppose A and B are compatible observables and eigenvalues of A are non-degenerate. Then the matrix elements $\langle a''|B|a'\rangle$ are all diagonal.

Proof

$$\langle a''|[A, B]|a'\rangle = \langle a''|AB - BA|a'\rangle = (a'' - a')\langle a''|B|a'\rangle = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, if $a'' \neq a'$, then $\langle a''|B|a'\rangle = 0$. QED.

We can write $\langle a''|B|a'\rangle = \delta_{a'a''}\langle a'|B|a'\rangle$. Now remember that matrix elements of A are already diagonal if $\{|a'\rangle\}$ are base kets. Therefore, both A and B can be represented by diagonal matrices with the same set of base kets.

$$B = \sum_{a''} |a''\rangle \langle a''|B|a''\rangle \langle a''| \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore,

$$B|a'\rangle = \sum_{a''} |a''\rangle \langle a''|B|a''\rangle \langle a''|a'\rangle = \left(\langle a'|B|a'\rangle\right) \cdot |a'\rangle \quad (3.4)$$

Equation (3.4) is the eigenvalue equation of B with eigenvalue b' , where

$$b' = \langle a' | B | a' \rangle \quad (3.5)$$

The ket $|a'\rangle$ is the simultaneous eigenket of A and B . Let $|a', b'\rangle$ be the notation for a simultaneous eigenket of A and B with eigenvalues a' and b' , respectively.

Properties:

$$A|a', b'\rangle = a'|a', b'\rangle \quad (3.6a)$$

$$B|a', b'\rangle = b'|a', b'\rangle \quad (3.6b)$$

Uncertainty Relation

Let us define an operator ΔA as

$$\Delta A = A - \langle A \rangle \quad (3.7)$$

The dispersion is thus defined as

$$\langle (\Delta A)^2 \rangle = \langle (A^2 - 2A\langle A \rangle + \langle A \rangle^2) \rangle = \langle A^2 \rangle - \langle A \rangle^2 \quad (3.8)$$

Theorem If A and B are two observables, the following must hold true:

$$\langle (\Delta A)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta B)^2 \rangle \geq \frac{1}{4} |\langle [A, B] \rangle|^2 \quad (3.9)$$

Proof

Lemma 1. The Schwarz Inequality is

$$\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \beta \rangle \geq |\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle|^2 \quad (3.10)$$

To show that the Schwarz Inequality is true, we note that

$$(\langle \alpha | + \lambda^* \langle \beta |) \cdot (|\alpha\rangle + \lambda |\beta\rangle) \geq 0 \quad (3.11)$$

where λ is any complex number. By setting $\lambda = -\frac{\langle \beta | \alpha \rangle}{\langle \beta | \beta \rangle}$, we get

$$\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \beta \rangle - |\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle|^2 \geq 0 \quad (3.12)$$

which is the Schwarz Inequality written in Equation (3.10).

Lemma 2. The expectation value of a Hermitian operator is real. Hint: Use the fact that $\langle a'' | B | a' \rangle = \langle a' | B | a'' \rangle^*$.

Lemma 3. The expectation value of an anti-Hermitian operator is purely imaginary. Hint: Remember that $C = -C^\dagger$ for an anti-Hermitian operator.

Now let

$$|\alpha\rangle = \Delta A | \rangle \quad (3.13a)$$

$$|\beta\rangle = \Delta B | \rangle \quad (3.13b)$$

where we have used blank kets to represent any arbitrary ket. From Lemma 1 we have

$$\langle (\Delta A)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta B)^2 \rangle \geq |\langle \Delta A \Delta B \rangle|^2 \quad (3.14)$$

where we note that ΔA and ΔB are Hermitian. By looking at the RHS of Equation (3.14), we take note that $\Delta A \Delta B$ can be expressed as

$$\Delta A \Delta B = \frac{1}{2} [\Delta A, \Delta B] + \frac{1}{2} \{\Delta A, \Delta B\} \quad (3.15)$$

Then, we take note that $[\Delta A, \Delta B] = [A, B]$ is anti-Hermitian. As such we have

$$([A, B])^\dagger = (AB - BA)^\dagger = BA - AB = -[A, B] \quad (3.16)$$

However, $\{\Delta A, \Delta B\}$ is Hermitian. Therefore, using Lemmas 2 and 3, we get

$$\langle \Delta A, \Delta B \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle [A, B] \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \{\Delta A, \Delta B\} \rangle \quad (3.17)$$

where the first term on the RHS is imaginary and the second term on the RHS is real. The RHS of Equation (3.14) is now

$$|\langle \Delta A \Delta B \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4} |\langle [A, B] \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\langle \{\Delta A, \Delta B\} \rangle|^2 \quad (3.18)$$

QED.

Change of Basis

Let there be two incompatible operators A and B . The ket space can be spanned either by $\{|a'\rangle\}$ or by $\{|b'\rangle\}$. This is known as *change of basis* or *representation*. We can construct a transformation operator that connects an old orthonormal set $\{|a'\rangle\}$ to a new one $\{|b'\rangle\}$.

Theorem Given two sets of base kets both satisfying orthonormality and completeness, \exists a unitary operator U such that

$$|b'\rangle = U|a'\rangle; \quad |b''\rangle = U|a''\rangle \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$U^\dagger U = 1, \quad U U^\dagger = 1 \quad (3.20)$$

Proof

Let

$$U = \sum_k |b^{(k)}\rangle\langle a^{(k)}| \quad (3.21)$$

$$\implies U|a^{(l)}\rangle = |b^{(l)}\rangle \quad (3.22)$$

Furthermore,

$$U^\dagger U = \sum_k \sum_l |a^{(l)}\rangle\langle b^{(l)}|b^{(k)}\rangle\langle a^{(k)}| = \sum_k |a^{(k)}\rangle\langle a^{(k)}| = 1 \quad (3.23)$$

4 Transformation Matrix, Diagonalization, and Physical Observables

What is the representation of U in $\{|a'\rangle\}$? The transformation matrix can be written as

$$\langle a^{(k)}|U|a^{(l)}\rangle = \langle a^{(k)}|b^{(l)}\rangle \quad (4.1)$$

where we have used the U -transformation to arrive at a new set of basis vectors. Now,

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} |a'\rangle \langle a'|\alpha\rangle \quad (4.2)$$

$$\langle b^{(k)}|\alpha\rangle = \sum_l \langle b^{(k)}|a^{(l)}\rangle \langle a^{(l)}|\alpha\rangle = \sum_l \langle a^{(k)}|U^\dagger|a^{(l)}\rangle \langle a^{(l)}|\alpha\rangle \quad (4.3)$$

In Equation (4.3), $\langle b^{(k)}|\alpha\rangle$ is the column matrix in the new basis $\{|b'\rangle\}$ and $\langle a^{(l)}|\alpha\rangle$ is the column matrix in the old basis $\{|a'\rangle\}$. The matrix representation of U^\dagger is $\sum_l \langle a^{(k)}|U^\dagger|a^{(l)}\rangle$.

The relation between old matrix element and new matrix element is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b^{(k)}|X|b^{(l)}\rangle &= \sum_m \sum_n \langle b^{(k)}|a^{(m)}\rangle \langle a^{(m)}|X|a^{(n)}\rangle \langle a^{(n)}|b^{(l)}\rangle \\ &= \sum_m \sum_n \langle a^{(k)}|U^\dagger|a^{(m)}\rangle \langle a^{(m)}|X|a^{(n)}\rangle \langle a^{(n)}|U|a^{(l)}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

which is the formula for a *similarity transformation*: $X' = U^\dagger X U$.

The trace of an operator is defined as

$$\text{tr}(X) = \sum_{a'} \langle a'|X|a'\rangle \quad (4.5)$$

Theorem Trace is independent of representation.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a'} \langle a' | X | a' \rangle &= \sum_{a'} \sum_{b'} \sum_{b''} \langle a' | b' \rangle \langle b' | X | b'' \rangle \langle b'' | a' \rangle \\
&= \sum_{b'} \sum_{b''} \langle b'' | b' \rangle \langle b' | X | b'' \rangle \\
&= \sum_{b'} \langle b' | X | b' \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Some properties of the trace:

$$tr(XY) = tr(YX) \tag{4.7a}$$

$$tr(U^\dagger XU) = tr(X) \tag{4.7b}$$

$$tr(|a'\rangle\langle a''|) = \delta_{a'a''} \tag{4.7c}$$

$$tr(|b'\rangle\langle a'|) = \langle a' | b' \rangle \tag{4.7d}$$

Diagonalization

Let $\{|a'\rangle\}$ be known. How to obtain b' and $|b'\rangle$ similarity transformation?

$$B|b'\rangle = b'|b'\rangle \tag{4.8}$$

$$\implies \sum_{a'} \langle a'' | B | a' \rangle \langle a' | b' \rangle = b' \langle a'' | b' \rangle \tag{4.9}$$

If

$$B_{ij} = \langle a^{(i)} | B | a^{(j)} \rangle \tag{4.10a}$$

$$C_k^{(l)} = \langle a^{(k)} | b^{(l)} \rangle \tag{4.10b}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & \dots \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1^{(l)} \\ C_2^{(l)} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = b^{(l)} \begin{bmatrix} C_1^{(l)} \\ C_2^{(l)} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.11}$$

where $i, j, k \rightarrow 1, \dots, N$.

Non-trivial solution results in the characteristic equation

$$\det(B - \lambda 1) = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

Comparing $C_k^{(l)}$ in Equation (4.10) with $\langle a^{(k)} | U | a^{(l)} \rangle = \langle a^{(k)} | b^{(l)} \rangle$, we find that the $C_k^{(l)}$ s are elements of the unitary matrix that changes basis $\{|a'\rangle\} \rightarrow \{|b'\rangle\}$. Remember that the Hermiticity of B is important.

Theorem Consider two sets of orthonormal bases $\{|a'\rangle\}$ and $\{|b'\rangle\}$. Let there be a unitary operator U that acts on $\{|a'\rangle\}$ such that $\{|a'\rangle\} \rightarrow \{|b'\rangle\}$. Knowing U , we may construct a *unitary transform* of A , UAU^{-1} . A and UAU^{-1} are called *unitary equivalent observables*.

Proof

$$A|a^{(l)}\rangle = a^{(l)}|a^{(l)}\rangle \quad (4.13)$$

$$\implies UAU^{-1}U|a^{(l)}\rangle = a^{(l)}U|a^{(l)}\rangle \quad (4.14)$$

$$\implies (UAU^{-1})|b^{(l)}\rangle = a^{(l)}|b^{(l)}\rangle \quad (4.15)$$

Therefore, $|b'\rangle$ s are eigenkets of UAU^{-1} with exactly the same eigenvalues as A . Unitary equivalent observables have identical spectra.

Now,

$$B|b^{(l)}\rangle = b^{(l)}|b^{(l)}\rangle \quad (4.16)$$

B and UAU^{-1} are simultaneously diagonalizable.

Question Is UAU^{-1} the same as B itself?

Answer

Yes, sometimes. For example, consider $S_x \rightarrow US_z$. S_x and S_z have the same set of eigenvalues $\pm \frac{\hbar}{2}$.

Position, Momentum, and Translation

In quantum mechanics, we have observables with continuous spectra (e.g. $p_x : -\infty \rightarrow +\infty$).

The eigenvalue equation, for instance, becomes

$$\xi|\xi'\rangle = \xi'|\xi'\rangle \quad (4.17)$$

Also,

$$\langle a'|a''\rangle = \delta_{a'a''} \rightarrow \langle \xi'|\xi''\rangle = \delta(\xi' - \xi'') \quad (4.18a)$$

$$\sum_{a'} |a'\rangle \langle a'| = 1 \rightarrow \int d\xi' |\xi'\rangle \langle \xi'| = 1 \quad (4.18b)$$

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} |a'\rangle \langle a'|\alpha\rangle \rightarrow \int d\xi' |\xi'\rangle \langle \xi'|\alpha\rangle \quad (4.18c)$$

$$\sum_{a'} |\langle a'|\alpha\rangle|^2 = 1 \rightarrow \int d\xi' |\langle \xi'|\alpha\rangle|^2 = 1 \quad (4.18d)$$

$$\langle \beta|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} a' \langle \beta|a'\rangle \langle a'|\alpha\rangle \rightarrow \langle \beta|\alpha\rangle = \int d\xi' \langle \beta|\xi'\rangle \langle \xi'|\alpha\rangle \quad (4.18e)$$

$$\langle a''|A|a'\rangle = a' \delta_{a'a''} \rightarrow \langle \xi''|A|\xi'\rangle = \xi' \delta(\xi'' - \xi') \quad (4.18f)$$

Now, let eigenkets of the position operator x satisfy

$$x|x'\rangle = x'|x'\rangle \quad (4.19)$$

For any arbitrary state ket $|\alpha\rangle$, we have

$$|\alpha\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' |x'\rangle \langle x'|\alpha\rangle \quad (4.20)$$

When $|\alpha\rangle$ is normalized,

$$\langle\alpha|\alpha\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' \langle\alpha|x'\rangle \langle x'|\alpha\rangle = 1 \quad (4.21)$$

Note: The same can be easily extended to three dimensions.

In general,

$$[x_i, x_j] = 0 \quad (4.22)$$

All three components of the position vector can be measured simultaneously with arbitrary degrees of accuracy.

Two results to note:

(i) The infinitesimal translation operator:

$$T(d\bar{x}') = 1 - \frac{i\bar{p} \cdot d\bar{x}'}{\hbar} \quad (4.23a)$$

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij} \quad (4.23b)$$

It is impossible to find simultaneous eigenkets of x and p_x (or y and p_y ; z and p_z).

(ii) Position-momentum uncertainty (Heisenberg's uncertainty relation):

$$\langle(\Delta x)^2\rangle\langle(\Delta p_x)^2\rangle \geq \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \quad (4.24)$$

$$[p_i, p_j] = 0 \quad (4.25)$$

Translations in different directions commute (the translation in 3-D is an Abelian group, therefore the generators for the transformation, i.e. p_i s, commute).

$$[x_i, x_j] = 0 \quad (4.26a)$$

$$[p_i, p_j] = 0 \quad (4.26b)$$

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij} \quad (4.26c)$$

Canonical Commutation Relations

$$[A, A] = 0 \quad (4.27a)$$

$$[A, B] = -[B, A] \quad (4.27b)$$

$$[A, c] = 0 \quad (4.27c)$$

$$[A + B, C] = [A, C] + [B, C] \quad (4.27d)$$

$$[A, BC] = [A, B]C + B[A, C] \quad (4.27e)$$

$$[A[B, C]] + [B, [C, A]] + [C, [A, B]] = 0 \quad (4.27f)$$

where c is a number in Equation (4.27c), and Equation (4.27f) is known as the Jacobi identity.

Position Space Wave Function

$$x|x'\rangle = x'|x'\rangle \quad (4.28)$$

$$\langle x''|x'\rangle = \delta(x'' - x') \quad (4.29)$$

$$|\alpha\rangle = \int dx'|x'\rangle\langle x'|\alpha\rangle \quad (4.30)$$

$|\langle x'|\alpha\rangle|^2 \rightarrow$ probability that the particle is found in $x' \pm dx'$.

The wave function for state $|\alpha\rangle$ is

$$\langle x'|\alpha\rangle = \psi_\alpha(x') \quad (4.31)$$

We interpret the inner product $\langle\beta|\alpha\rangle$ to be the overlap between two wave functions. In other words, it is the probability amplitude for the state $|\alpha\rangle$ to be found in the state $|\beta\rangle$. We can write it as

$$\langle\beta|\alpha\rangle = \int dx' \langle\beta|x'\rangle \langle x'|\alpha\rangle = \int dx' \psi_\beta^*(x') \psi_\alpha(x') \quad (4.32)$$

Again,

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} |a'\rangle \langle a'|\alpha\rangle \quad (4.33)$$

$$\implies \langle x'|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a'} \langle x'|a'\rangle \langle a'|\alpha\rangle \quad (4.34)$$

$$\implies \psi_\alpha(x') = \sum_{a'} c_{a'} u_{a'}(x') \quad (4.35)$$

where $u_{a'}(x') = \langle x'|a'\rangle$ is the eigenfunction of the operator A with eigenvalue a' .

Also,

$$\langle\beta|A|\alpha\rangle = \int dx' \int dx'' \langle\beta|x'\rangle \langle x'|A|x''\rangle \langle x''|\alpha\rangle = \int dx' \int dx'' \psi_\beta^*(x') \langle x'|A|x''\rangle \psi_\alpha(x'') \quad (4.36)$$

For example, let $A = x^2$.

$$\implies \langle x'|x^2|x''\rangle = (\langle x'|) \cdot (x''^2|x'') = x'^2 \delta(x' - x'') \quad (4.37)$$

$$\implies \langle \beta | x^2 | \alpha \rangle = \int dx' \langle \beta | x' \rangle x'^2 \langle x' | \alpha \rangle = \int dx' \psi_\beta^*(x') x'^2 \psi_\alpha(x') \quad (4.38)$$

In general, for any operator $f(x)$ and number $f(x')$,

$$\langle \beta | f(x) | \alpha \rangle = \int dx' \psi_\beta^*(x') f(x') \psi_\alpha(x') \quad (4.39)$$

Momentum Operator in Position Basis

Recall that $\left(1 - \frac{ip\Delta x'}{\hbar}\right) \rightarrow T(\Delta x')$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(\Delta x') | \alpha \rangle &= \int dx' T(\Delta x') | x' \rangle \langle x' | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \int dx' | x' + \Delta x' \rangle \langle x' | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \int dx' | x' \rangle \langle x' - \Delta x' | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \int dx' | x' \rangle \left(\langle x' | \alpha \rangle - \Delta x' \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \langle x' | \alpha \rangle \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

Comparing the LHS and RHS yields

$$p | \alpha \rangle = \int dx' | x' \rangle \left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \langle x' | \alpha \rangle \right) \quad (4.41)$$

$$\langle x' | p | \alpha \rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \langle x' | \alpha \rangle \quad (4.42)$$

Matrix element p in x -representation:

$$\langle x' | p | x'' \rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \delta(x' - x'') \quad (4.43)$$

References